## Assignment #4

## Due Friday 1 October, 2021 at the start of class.

Submit on paper or by email: elbueler@alaska.edu

**Exercise 2.4.2.** (This is a Matlab/Octave exercise. Note that you generate an exact solution x first, and then a right-hand side b by multiplication, so that you can measure the error x - z. Also please report norm (x-z), the length of x - z.)

**Exercise 2.4.5.** (lufact2() *is very short and basically just calls* lufact().)

**Exercise 2.4.6.** (Do parts (a) and (b) only. This Exercise shows how the Matlab function det () is implemented, basically, and it does not use a cofactor expansion! In part (b) the reason your determinant () is a bit less accurate than det () is that the built-in also uses row pivoting, but do not worry about that here.)

**Exercise 2.5.1.** (*Do parts* (a) *and* (b) *only*.)

Exercise 2.5.3.

Exercise 2.5.5.

**Exercise 2.6.2.** (*Example 2.4.1 is done on pages 52–54.*)

**P4.** The LU factorization in Section 2.4, namely [L, U] = lufact (A), yields A = LU, but without pivoting. By contrast, the Matlab/Octave build-in method lu() does row pivoting to reduce the accumulated rounding error.

As explained in Section 2.6, row pivoting implies an invertible permutation matrix P so that PA = LU or equivalently  $A = P^{-1}LU$ . Conceptually, a row pivoting solution pre-multiplies the linear system by P before doing the LU factorization:

 $Ax = b \implies PAx = Pb \implies LUx = Pb \implies L(Ux) = Pb.$ 

Note that to use lu() one asks for three matrix outputs:

>> [L,U,P] = lu(A)

This difference in factorizations leads to different procedures for solving a linear system Ax = b:

(a) Write a Matlab/Octave script which generates linear systems Ax = b from random matrices A = randn(n, n) with n = 3, 10, 30, 100, 300. Your program should also choose random exact solutions xexact = randn(n, 1) and then get the right-hand-sides by multiplication (b = A \* xexact) so that the solution of each linear system is known. Use lufact(), forwardsub(), and backsub() to solve the linear systems to get computed solutions xa. Report norm(xa-xexact) for each linear system; this is the magnitude of the error caused by rounding during the solution process.

(b) Now add the lu() solution method to the script and solve the same linear systems to get new computed solutions xb. (*That is, each time your program generates a ran- dom linear system it should solve it by both methods.*) Again report norm(xb-xexact).

(c) If everything is correct in the above parts then you will notice that the errors from lufact() are larger than for lu(), especially for the larger n values. Create a figure to show this evidence. Specifically, in one loglog() graph with n on the horizontal axis and the errors on the vertical axis, show the norm(x?-xexact) errors from both parts. Label the axes appropriately, and perhaps use legend() to distinguish between the parts. Ideally, your figure will show several repeats of the experiment, e.g. from generating five linear systems for each n value.

*Note 1.* You are allowed to combine all parts into one program which generates one figure. In any case, include the programs you write and the figures it produces into your submitted Assignment. Essentially all the results should be shown in figures, as I don't really want to see numbers as output.

*Note* 2. The effect shown in your figure is even stronger for larger sizes n = 1000 or n = 3000, for example. However, lufact() is very slow for these sizes, so I did not ask for those sizes. By comparison lu() is reasonably fast for these sizes. The difference in performance is because lu() is implemented in compiled C code, while Matlab/Octave for loops in lufact() are always slower. An interpreted language must allow for dynamic possibilities in a loop.